UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,433	06/23/2005	Karen Williams	PA0247	7534
	7590 07/24/200 ARE BIO-SCIENCES	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT	POWERS, FIONA		
800 CENTENNIAL AVENUE PISCATAWAY, NJ 08855			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,433	WILLIAMS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fiona T. Powers	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-18 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,5 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection and request that any objection to the orection is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 The oath or declaration is objected to be ob	r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	animor. Noto the attached office	7.00.001 01 101111 1 0 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 1626

Receipt is acknowledged of the information disclosure statement filed May 31, 2007 and the amendment filed April 28, 2008 which have been entered in the file.

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1 to 7 and 19 in the reply filed on April 28, 2008 is acknowledged.

Claims 8 to 18 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 28, 2008.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification does not contain a section entitled "BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS". Page 19 should be amended to include this heading.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 7 contains a period within the claim. Note the period after Set 4 on page 7, line 3. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1626

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 3, 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Randall et al. (US 6224644), cited.

<u>Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)</u>

The reference discloses cyanine dyes which are useful for labeling a variety of target molecules. Note the abstract. The cyanine dyes of Examples 26 and 27 are structurally similar to the dyes of instant formula (I) wherein n in one dye is 1 and in the other dye is 2; R^1 or R^2 is $-(CH_2)_p-CONH-(CH_2)_q-Y$ where p is 5 and q is 3; the other of R^1 and R^2 is $-(CH_2)_r-H$ where r is 1 or 2; and R^3 is W which is a sulphonic acid group. The dyes of Examples 26 and 27 are in the potassium salt form. The reference also discloses a kit comprising the cyanine dyes. Note column 8, line 64 to column 9, line 8.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The dyes of the reference differ from the claimed dyes in that the group that corresponds to Y of the present invention is a protected hydroxy group instead of a hydroxy group.

Art Unit: 1626

Additionally, in one of the dyes the group that corresponds to R^1 or R^2 that is $-(CH_2)_r$ -H, r is 2 instead of 1 or 3. Furthermore, the reference does not disclose the use of the dyes of Examples 26 and 27 as a matched set of fluorescent dyes.

Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

The reference discloses that the protected groups can be deprotected to yield free hydroxyl groups which can be covalently attached to a target molecule. See column 2, lines 15 to 30 and column 9, lines 1 to 8. The reference also discloses that the group that corresponds to R^1 or R^2 that is - $(CH_2)_r$ -H which is R_{11} or R_{12} in the reference dyes, can be C_1 - C_{30} alkyl. Note formula (2) in column 2 and the definition of R_{11} and R_{12} in column 2, lines 44 and 45. Thus, it would have been obvious to substitute a methyl group for the ethyl group in one of the dyes of Examples 26 and 27. In addition, it has been held that it is obvious to use together compounds that are useful for the same purpose. See In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the deprotected dye of Example 26 together with the deprotected dye of Example 27 but substituting a methyl group for the ethyl group in one of the dyes in order to obtain a matched set of fluorescent dyes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the resulting set of

Art Unit: 1626

fluorescent dyes to also be useful for labeling a variety of target molecules. The claimed set of fluorescent dyes and kit comprising the matched set of fluorescent dyes would have been rendered obvious by the dyes of the reference in the absence of any unobvious property.

Claims 1 to 3, 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura (US 6825195), cited.

<u>Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)</u>

The reference discloses fluorescent group-containing carbodimide cyanine dyes which can be used to label nucleic acids or proteins. The cyanine dyes of the reference are structurally similar to the dyes of instant formula (I) wherein n is 1, 2 or 3; R^1 or R^2 is $-(CH_2)_p-CONH-(CH_2)_q-Y$ where p is 5 and q is 3; the other of R^1 and R^2 is $-(CH_2)_r-H$ where r is 1 or 2; and R^3 is W which is a sulphonic acid group. Note the dye at the bottom of columns 9 and 10 and the three dyes shown in columns 11 and 12. Also note column 17, line 63 to column 19, line 10.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The dyes of the reference differ from the claimed dyes in that in one of the dyes the group that corresponds to R^1 or R^2 that is $-(CH_2)_r$ -H, r is 2 instead of 1 or 3. Furthermore, the

Art Unit: 1626

reference does not disclose the use of the dyes as a matched set of fluorescent dyes.

Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

It would have been obvious to substitute a methyl or propyl group for the ethyl group on the indolium nitrogen to obtain homologous cyanine dyes that would be expected to have similar properties. In addition, it has been held that it is obvious to use together compounds that are useful for the same purpose. See In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069. The claimed set of fluorescent dyes and kit comprising the matched set of fluorescent dyes would have been rendered obvious by the dyes of the reference in the absence of any unobvious property.

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The references made of record and not relied upon show the state of the art.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 571-272-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fiona T. Powers/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

Fiona T. Powers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626

ftp July 16, 2008